

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/995,607	11/29/2001	Sadayuki Iwai	216468US2	2319	
22850	7590 04/09/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN		
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2852		

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ţ				(	_			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/995,607 IWAI		IWAI, SADAYUKI	I, SADAYUKI			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Susan S. Lee		2852				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor, will apply and will ex s, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communicati (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 De	ecember 2003	<u>3</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-	-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,11,13-30,32-60,63-70 and 73</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10,11,13-25,50-60,63,65-67,69</u> , Claim(s) <u>26,27,32-34,38,41,45-48,68 and 79</u> is Claim(s) <u>28-30,35-37,39,40,42-44,49 and 64</u> is Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consi <u>,70,72-78 and</u> s/are rejected. s/are objected	deration. <u>/ 80</u> is/are allowed. I to.	ion.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 November 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊡ acce drawing(s) be t tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been r ts have been r rity document u (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applications have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)		_					
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	, 5)	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal Pa  Other: See Continua	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Consideration of papers filed 8/28/03 & 10/24/03.

Art Unit: 2852

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the jetting charged ink droplets, jetting a charged toner, and selectively adhering a thin layer of a magnetic toner must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Arrows to indicate new paragraphs in the specification should be deleted from the specification, for example on pages 63-64.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 68 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2852

As to claim 68, line 16, "the intermediate transfer member unit" lacks antecedent basis. There is a previous recitation of an intermediate transfer member. Are these the same element or different ones?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holzhauser (4,593,995) in view of Hamanaka (Japan, 423), Raj (5,710,958), and Nukada (6,336,021).

Holzhauser discloses a copier with a photoconductor 32 that reads on the instant invention's image supporting member, a first transfer station 42, a second transfer station 44, and a turnover drum 50 that reads on the instant invention's inverting unit. When duplex copy sheets are to be formed, copy sheets CS are fed from the lower supply 43 to a position alongside the photoconductor between developing station 40 and the first image transfer station 42 so a developed image from one side of the document sheet is transferred to one side of the copy sheet. Then the copy sheet is transported with the photoconductor to a turnover drum 50 where the drum picks up the

Art Unit: 2852

copy sheet and moves it initially in a counterclockwise direction as viewed in Fig. 1 until the trailing edge of the copy sheet is removed from the photoconductor. At the appropriate time in the cycle, the direction of the drum 50 is reversed to return the copy sheet to the photoconductor with the previously applied image then being on the upper side of the sheet (away from the photoconductor). Then the sheet is transported beneath the second transfer station 44 where a second developed image on the photoconductor is applied to the second side of the copy sheet. The copy sheet with the two images thereon then passes through a fusing station 52 which adheres the developed images to the copy sheet. Note column 4, lines 5-32. A document is scanned on a platen 22 with lamps 24. A charging station 36 charges the photoconductor to receive an image of a light pattern of the document page on platen 22. At an exposing station 38, the light pattern selectively discharges the electrostatic charge to form a latent image on the photoconductor. The photoconductor then passes through a developing station 40 where toner particles are applied to the latent electrostatic image to develop the image. Note column 3, line 21- column 4, line 4. When duplex document sheets S are provided in tray 14, it is necessary to copy both sides of the document sheets. The lamps 24 illuminate the document for copying, the document sheet is again fed around turnaround roller 28 and returned to the platen so that the second side of the document is fed through return path 26 back to the top of the stack of sheets. Note column 3, lines 21-50.

Art Unit: 2852

Holzhauser differs from the instant invention by not disclosing a branched nail; a conveyance roller; and a liquid developing agent containing toner dispersed in liquid solvent;

Hamanaka discloses an inversed triangular movable branching body 13 that reads on the instant invention's branched nail and rollers 11 and 12 that read on the instant invention's conveyance rollers. The movable branching body 13 is swayed between the upstream roller 11 and a downstream roller 12 using an axis 14 as a fulcrum so that a sheet discharging path (a), a guiding path (b), and reversal sheet discharging path (c) are provided centering the movable branching body 13. The branching body 13 is swayed when a solenoid 15 is turned on, the guiding path (b) is opened and the sheet discharging path (a) is closed, and a sheet R is guided to a double-face copying path. On the other hand when the solenoid 15 is turned off, the sheet-discharging path (a) is opened and the guiding path (b) is closed, thereby the sheet R is discharged to another path. It is also noted that a reciprocal roller 18 is used in this path. Note abstract.

Raj discloses an electrophotographic printing process that develops the latent image with developer that is either a dry or liquid marking material having a carrier and toner. Note column 1, lines 10-20.

Nukada discloses that a wet electrophotographic apparatus using a liquid developer containing a toner and a solvent produces various merits that cannot be produced by a dry electrophotographic apparatus using a developing powder. Since a sufficiently high image density can be obtained with a small amount of the toner, the wet

Art Unit: 2852

electrophotographic apparatus is advantageous in economy. Also, the wet electrophotographic apparatus permits achieving a texture equivalent to that of an offset printing. Further, the toner in the liquid developer can be fixed to a paper sheet at a relatively low temperature, leading to an energy saving. Note column 1, lines 21-33.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Holzhauser with that of Hamanaka by replacing the turnover drum 50 with the movable branching body 13 and conveyance rollers of Hamanaka in order to reliably select the path of a sheet and effectively prevent jam from occurring when making double sided copies as disclosed by Hamanaka.

Raj shows the different developers, dry and liquid are equivalent structures known in the art. Therefore, because these developers were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the dry developer of Holzhauser with that of a liquid developer since Raj discloses that they are equivalent structures and Nukada discloses the advantages of using liquid developer over dry developer such as energy saving of fixing the developer; economics by using less toner; and better prints as disclosed by Nukada.

Claims 27, 32-34, 38, 41, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holzhauser (4,593,995), as modified by Hamanaka (Japan, 423), Raj (5,710,958), and Nukada (6,336,021), as applied to claims 26 and 48 above, and further in view of Nakamura (Japan, 364).

Art Unit: 2852

Holzhauser, as modified by Hamanaka, Raj, and Nukada differ from the instant invention by not disclosing the liquid developing agent having a characteristic to be cured by an optical function.

Nakamura shows toner used for liquid development is cured by ultraviolet rays, thus this improves the adhesiveness of the toner to a recording medium to be transferred. Note abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Holzhauser as modified by Hamanaka, Raj, and Nukada, with that of Nakamura so that the toner can be more adhesive to the recording medium to be transferred. This is discussed by Nakamura. Note abstract.

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holzhauser (4,593,995), as modified by Hamanaka (Japan, 423), Raj (5,710,958), and Nukada (6,336,021), as applied to claims 26 and 48 above, and further in view of Nakashima et al. (6,308034).

Holzhauser, as modified by Hamanaka, Raj, and Nukada differ from the instant invention by not disclosing a liquid solvent in the developer is volatile.

Nakashima et al. discloses using in a wet type electrophotographic apparatus a liquid developer with a volatile solvent so that the removal rate of the solvent is increased. Note column 3, lines 57-59.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Holzhauser in view of Hamanaka, Raj,

Art Unit: 2852

and Nukada, with that of Nakashima et al. so that a volatile solvent can be used in the liquid developer to increase rate of removal of solvent.

Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holzhauser (4,593,995), as modified by Hamanaka (Japan, 423), Raj (5,710,958), and Nukada (6,336,021), as applied to claims 26 and 48 above, and further in view of Mochizuki et al. (Japan, 448).

Holzhauser, as modified by Hamanaka, Raj, and Nukada differ from the instant invention by not disclosing a liquid solvent of the developer is permeable to the recording medium.

Mochizuki et al. discloses using a liquid developer with a carrier solvent that improves transferability of the toner itself and facilitates attachment and permeability to paper. Note abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Holzhauser in view of Hamanaka, Raj, and Nukada, with that of Mochizuki et al. so that a solvent can be used in the liquid developer to facilitate attachment and permeability to paper in order to improve transferability of toner to the paper.

Claim 79 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holzhauser (4,593,995) in view of Raj (5,710,958) and Nukada (6,336,021).

Holzhauser discloses a copier with a photoconductor 32 that reads on the instant invention's image supporting member, a first transfer station 42, a second transfer station 44, and a turnover drum 50 that reads on the instant invention's inverting unit.

Art Unit: 2852

When duplex copy sheets are to be formed, copy sheets CS are fed from the lower supply 43 to a position alongside the photoconductor between developing station 40 and the first image transfer station 42 so a developed image from one side of the document sheet is transferred to one side of the copy sheet. Then the copy sheet is transported with the photoconductor to a turnover drum 50 where the drum picks up the copy sheet and moves it initially in a counterclockwise direction as viewed in Fig. 1 until the trailing edge of the copy sheet is removed from the photoconductor. At the appropriate time in the cycle, the direction of the drum 50 is reversed to return the copy sheet to the photoconductor with the previously applied image then being on the upper side of the sheet (away from the photoconductor). Then the sheet is transported beneath the second transfer station 44 where a second developed image on the photoconductor is applied to the second side of the copy sheet. The copy sheet with the two images thereon then passes through a fusing station 52 which adheres the developed images to the copy sheet. Note column 4, lines 5-32. A document is scanned on a platen 22 with lamps 24. A charging station 36 charges the photoconductor to receive an image of a light pattern of the document page on platen 22. At an exposing station 38, the light pattern selectively discharges the electrostatic charge to form a latent image on the photoconductor. The photoconductor then passes through a developing station 40 where toner particles are applied to the latent electrostatic image to develop the image. Note column 3, line 21- column 4, line 4. When duplex document sheets S are provided in tray 14, it is necessary to copy both sides of the document sheets. The lamps 24 illuminate the document for coping,

Art Unit: 2852

the document sheet is again fed around turnaround roller 28 and returned to the platen so that the second side of the document is fed through return path 26 back to the top of the stack of sheets. Note column 3, lines 21-50.

Holzhauser differs from the instant invention by not disclosing a liquid developing agent containing toner dispersed in liquid solvent.

Raj discloses an electrophotographic printing process that develops the latent image with developer that is either a dry or liquid marking material having a carrier and toner. Note column 1, lines 10-20.

Nukada discloses that a wet electrophotographic apparatus using a liquid developer containing a toner and a solvent produces various merits that cannot be produced by a dry electrophotographic apparatus using a developing powder. Since a sufficiently high image density can be obtained with a small amount of the toner, the wet electrophotographic apparatus is advantageous in economy. Also, the wet electrophotographic apparatus permits achieving a texture equivalent to that of an offset printing. Further, the toner in the liquid developer can be fixed to a paper sheet at a relatively low temperature, leading to an energy saving. Note column 1, lines 21-33.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Holzhauser with that of Raj and Nukada because Raj shows the different developers, dry and liquid are equivalent structures known in the art and Nukada shows why one of ordinary skill in the art would have use liquid developer over a dry developer. Therefore, because these developers were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2852

art would have found it obvious to substitute the dry developer of Holzhauser with that of a liquid developer since Raj discloses that they are equivalent structures and Nukada discloses the advantages of using liquid developer over dry developer such as energy saving of fixing the developer; economics by using less toner; and better prints as disclosed by Nukada.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 68 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 28-30, 35-37, 39, 40, 42-44, 49, and 64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-6, 8, 10, 11, 13-25, 50-60, 63, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70, 72-78, and 80 are allowed over the prior art of record.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26, 27, 32-34, 38, 41, 45-48, and 79 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2137. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 10:30-8:00, Second Monday Off.

Art Unit: 2852

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Art Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136 or 571-272-2800 (Ext. 52). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan S. Lee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2852